



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,876	06/27/2003	Jonathan Dale	073338.0134 (02-53311 FLA	7466
5073	7590	09/15/2008	EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			JABR, FADEY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3628	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com
glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/608,876	Applicant(s) DALE, JONATHAN	
	Examiner FADEY S. JABR	Art Unit 3628	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims **1-31** remain pending and are again presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 20 June 2008 with respect to 35 U.S.C. 101 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that "an interface and an agent" as recited in claims 11-20 are not limited to software. Applicant points to pages 8 and 10 of the specification to overcome the rejection. However, Examiner notes that the support pointed to within the specification, "interface 42 may include any suitable combination of hardware and/or logic for interacting with other components", and, "exemplary functional elements for an agent 40...include an interface 42, a description 44, a knowledge base 46, a plan 48, and a plan processing engine 50", are not sufficient to overcome the rejection. For instance, the support pointed to for the interface states that an interface may include hardware and/or logic, therefore hardware is not necessarily included. Further, the support pointed to for an agent includes many components which are considered to be software including the interface. Thus, the claims are deemed to be non-statutory.

2. Applicant argues (with respect to claims 1-31) that the combination of Rose and Sobalvarro fail to disclose or teach identifying a template specifying a plurality of events; and modifying the template to associate the service links with the events. However, Examiner notes that Rose discloses a template specifying a plurality of events; e.g. eCuisine, eBanquet, eB&B, etc. (Fig. 5A). Further, Fig. 6A discloses a restaurant search template which allows for a finer

Art Unit: 3628

search of services and events. In the broadest reasonable interpretation, identifying a template specifying a plurality of events, is merely displaying a webpage disclosing several types of events, as disclosed by Rose. Further, Sobalvarro teaches each of the package displays includes a one-line description along with a hypertext link, which the consumer may select to learn more about the proposed package...This more descriptive display outlines the various components within the package, including transportation, hotel, car rental, restaurant, entertainment and/or other components of the package and may give consumer the option of requesting **upgrades** and/or **adding on optional components** (abstract, 0100). Thus, Sobalvarro teaches adding links to packages, wherein the packages are dynamic packages.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims **11-20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because computer programs claimed as computer listings *per se*, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs, are not physical “things.” They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not “acts” being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus

Art Unit: 3628

statutory. See MPEP § 2106 (a). In the present case, an *interface* and an *agent* are considered to be software and are therefore deemed to be non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims **1-31** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rose et al., Pub. No. US2008/0010105 A1 in view of Sobalvarro et al., Pub. No. US2006/0287897 A1, hereinafter referred to as Rose and Sobalvarro, respectively.

As per **Claims 1, 5-6, 9-11, 15-16, 19-21, 25-26 and 29-31**, Rose discloses a method and system comprising:

- identifying a template specifying a plurality of events (see Figure 5A);
- determining a plurality of consumer descriptors (see Figure 6A);
- accessing a remote service directory having service descriptors for each of a plurality of services (0010, 0051-0052, 0055);
- filtering the services from the service directory based on the service descriptors, the events, and the consumer descriptors to determine potential ones of the services for fulfilling the events (see Figures 6A, 7);

Art Unit: 3628

- querying each of the potential services for additional service descriptors (see Figures 7-9A);
- filtering the potential services based on the additional service descriptors, the events, and the consumer descriptors to determine selected ones of the services for fulfilling the events (see Figures 7-9A);
- identifying service links for accessing the selected services (0059);

Rose fails to disclose modifying the template to associate the service links with the events; determining whether each of the events in the template has an associated service link; and when each of the events in the template has an associated service link, presenting the template for acceptance. Rose does disclose a customer accepting a reservation at an available time-slot (0075).

However, Sobalvarro teaches an itinerary for a short weekend with a hypertext link. Sobalvarro also teaches a package for flight, car rental, dinner reservations and show tickets with hypertext links to each of the services for the event, and allowing the user to purchase the itinerary (see Figures 2E-2F). Further Sobalvarro teaches a number of package offerings with round trip air fare, hotel accommodations, car rental and show tickets (0100, 0107, also see Figure 2D, 3B, 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the method and system of Rose and include modifying a template to incorporate links to the events and service links as taught by Sobalvarro since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element

Art Unit: 3628

merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

As per **Claims 2, 12 and 22**, Rose discloses wherein for each of the potential services, the additional service descriptors comprise a plurality of interface descriptors each identifying a feature of the potential service and a format for interfacing with the feature (see Figure 8).

As per **Claims 3, 13 and 23**, Rose discloses wherein each of the service links points to a particular feature of the identified service and specifies a command for accessing the particular feature (see Figure 8).

As per **Claims 4, 14 and 24**, Rose discloses wherein the consumer descriptors include global descriptors applicable across multiple templates and dynamic descriptors specifying constraints for one or more of the events (see Figure 6A).

As per **Claims 7, 17 and 27**, Rose discloses communicating payment information to at least one of the selected services (see Figure 12).

As per **Claims 8, 18 and 28**, Rose discloses wherein the template comprises a text based file (see Figure 6A).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that the applicant, in preparing the responses, fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FADEY S. JABR whose telephone number is (571)272-1516. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8:00am to 5:30pm.

Art Unit: 3628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fadey S Jabr
Examiner
Art Unit 3628

FSJ

Application/Control Number: 10/608,876

Page 9

Art Unit: 3628

Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231**

or faxed to:

(571) 273-1516 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401
Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

/F. S. J./

Examiner, Art Unit 3628

/JOHN W HAYES/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628